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#### Abstract

A new oxazole derivative, ethyl 5-bromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4-carboxylate, was synthesized and characterized by IR spectroscopy, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, mass spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The asymmetric unit of the title compound has two independent molecules ( A and B ) arranged in an inverted planar orientation, stabilized by intramolecular C-H. . O and C-H. . N hydrogen bonds and intermolecular C-H. - O hydrogen bonds. The crystallographic parameter for the structure $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ is $M=326.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, monoclinic, sp. gr. $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ (no. 14), $a=14.828(2) \AA, b=7.1335(9) \AA$, $c=25.119(2) \AA, \beta=100.066(11)^{\circ}, V=2616.1(6) \AA^{3}, Z=8$. Hirschfeld surface analysis and two-dimensional fingerprint plots provided insights into the short intermolecular interactions, and it was found that $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}(34.4 \%)$ interactions contributed the most to the intermolecular interactions. In contrast, the contribution of $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}(2.5 \%)$ was the least among all interactions.
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## 1. Introduction

Oxazoles have been previously reported as antibacterial [1-6], anticancer [7-10], antifungal [1, 11, 12], antioxidative [13, 14], antiparasitic [15-17], anti-tubercular [18-21], and antiviral [22-30] agents, and various oxazole derivatives have been synthesized, and their potential in various disease states has been explored. We
synthesized a few oxazole derivatives as part of a project on DENV protease inhibitors. In this communication, we report the synthesis protocol, characterization, Hirschfeld surface analysis, and X-ray crystallographic profile of the synthesized oxazole moiety.

## 2. Materials and methods

Reagent-grade chemicals and solvents were purchased from CDH, Merck, Sigma, Alfa Aesar, or Rankem. The reaction completion was monitored on normal-phase precoated silica using aluminium back-TLC plates (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The melting point was determined using an OPTIMELT automated system and uncorrected.

The two-step synthetic protocol is outlined in Scheme 1


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions for the synthesis of Scheme 1.

### 2.1 Procedure for synthesis of ethyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4-carboxylate (I)

 To a solution of 4-methoxy benzamide ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and in toluene/dioxane $(1: 1)(20 \mathrm{ml})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ethyl bromopyruvate ( $0.625 \mathrm{ml}, 4.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux while stirring for 24 h . After confirming the completion of the reaction using TLC, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under a vacuum. The residue was extracted using ethyl acetate and washed with brine. The organic layer was then dried over sodium sulfate. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using Petroleum ether: ethyl acetate (97:3) to yield compound I, ethyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4-carboxylate [31]. Yield: $50 \%$, m.p $100-102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
### 2.2 Procedure for synthesis of ethyl 5-bromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)oxazole-4carboxylate (II)

N -Bromosuccinimide ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ethyl 2-(4methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4-carboxylate ( 10 mL ) in a bottom flask, N -bromosuccinimide $(126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.708 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction was stirred for 72 h at room temperature, after which the reaction was confirmed by TLC, and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether: ethyl acetate (96:4) to yield compound II, ethyl 5-bromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4carboxylate. Yield: $85 \%$, m.p $126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.3 Characterization

The FTIR spectra of the title compound were recorded on an IR prestige 21, SHIMADZU spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Japan) in the range of 400-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ using KBr pellets. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the title compound were
recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECZ 400S/L1 400 MHz NMR spectrometer (JEOL Ltd, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan), and the mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Ultimate 3000 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) using electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS).

Pale yellow crystalline solid; Yield: $85 \%$, m.p $126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (film) 2920 (C-H, Ar), (C-H, methyl) 2857, (C=O, ester)1704, (C=C, Ar)1606, (C=C, Ar) 1546, (C-O, ether) 1248 , (C-O, ether) $1066,(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) 518 \mathrm{~cm}^{-},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, CHLOROFORMD) $\delta 1.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 4.42(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( 101 MHz , CHLOROFORM-D) $\delta 14.4$, 55.6, 61.7, 114.5, 118.4, 128.5, 129.8, 141.1, 160.7, 162.3, 177.5; (m/z): 325.97 [M] ${ }^{+}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, CHLOROFORM-D) $\delta 1.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ corresponds to the triplet due to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group of the ester, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ corresponds to the singlet of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group of the methoxy group on the aromatic ring, $4.42(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ corresponds to the resonance offered by the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group of the ester; the four aromatic protons showed resonance at $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral properties corresponded to the structure of the molecule, wherein the $\delta$ values of the aliphatic and aromatic carbons were in their expected ranges.

### 2.4 X-ray crystallographic data collection and structure refinement

X-ray quality single crystals of ethyl 5-bromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4carboxylate (II) were obtained by dissolving the sample in a hot petroleum ether and ethyl acetate ( $95: 5$ ) solution, followed by slow cooling at room temperature. Crystals of suitable dimensions were mounted on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction X-Calibur CCD system, controlled with user-inspired Crysalis ${ }^{\text {Pro }}$ for crystallographic data acquisition with a layer of light mineral oil, and measurements were made using an EnrafNonius Kappa CCD diffractometer with Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( 0.71073 Å). Using Olex-2 [32], the structure was solved using the Olex2.solve[33] structure solution program using charge flipping and refined with the SHELEXL [34] refinement package using least-squares minimization. ORTEP-3 for Windows [35] and Mercury [36] were used to display the stereo diagram and packing display.

The crystal crystallised in the monoclinic $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ space group, with eight molecules in the unit cell, and the asymmetric unit comprised two independent molecules ( A and $B$ ) stacked in an inverted orientation (Fig. 1). The phenyl rings of molecules A (C2-C7) and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 20)$ have a dihedral angle of $15.5(3)^{\circ}$, whereas the oxazole rings of molecules A and B have a dihedral angle of $5.4(3)^{\circ}$, indicating that both molecules (A and B) appear to be nearly coplanar with each other, and the oxazole and phenyl rings within the molecule have dihedral angles of $14.2(3)^{\circ}$ and $4.5(3)^{\circ}$, respectively, in molecules A and B. Based on this information, molecule B appears more planar than molecule A. In the crystal, the molecular structure is stabilised by intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ o hydrogen bonding (Table 1), and the two molecules of the asymmetric unit are linked by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular hydrogen bonding and exhibit a $\pi-\pi$ interaction between the $s p^{2}$ hybridized carbons of molecules A and B at distances of $3.374 \AA$ and $3.375 \AA$, respectively, for C4-C22 and C3-C24 interactions, thus forming the $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ unit.


Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of compound II with ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2. (a). Crystal packing of compound II along the b-axis (b). Close view of inter- \& intra-molecular interactions made by the asymmetric unit atoms, only those H atoms are shown which are involved in bond formation. (as viewed in the Mercury program)

Table 1. Hydrogen bond geometry ( A ) [Compound II]

| D-H... $A^{1}$ | D-H (Å) | H... A (Å) | D... A (Å) | D-H...A [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C4-H4...N1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 | 2.71 | 2.984 (9) | 98.0 |
| C6-H6...O2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 | 2.51 | 2.827 (8) | 99.9 |
| C17-H17...N2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 | 2.66 | 2.962 (9) | 99.4 |
| C19-H19... $\mathrm{O6}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 | 2.52 | 2.829 (8) | 100.0 |
| C12-H12A... $\mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.97 | 2.85 | 3.709 | 147.85 |
| C14-H14C...O4 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.96 | 2.86 | 3.652 | 139.77 |
| C1-H1A... ${ }^{\text {O }}$ | 0.96 | 2.71 | 3.623 | 157.67 |
| C14-H14A... $\mathrm{OB}^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.96 | 2.80 | 3.72 | 161.20 |
| C1-H1C...O4ii | 0.96 | 2.76 | 3.676 | 158.01 |
| C25-H25B... O8iii | 0.97 | 2.90 | 3.67 | 137.46 |
| C14-H14B... $\mathrm{N}^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.96 | 2.66 | 3.85 | 175.2 |

The packing in the crystal assumes a layer-like arrangement when viewed along the b-axis, as seen in Fig. 2, and the molecules adopt an extended conformation evident from the torsion angles of C11-03-C12-C13 $=177.2(7)^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{C} 24-07-\mathrm{C} 25-$ C26 $=169.5(8)^{\circ}$ respectively for molecules A and B. Further, the crystal packing along the layers is stabilised by intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ O hydrogen bonding and intermolecular O-C interaction with a bond distance of $3.22 \AA$ A between the molecules also between the layers, intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding contribute to the stacking arrangement. In addition, the bromine atoms in molecules $A$ and $B$ interact with nitrogen, hydrogen, and oxygen atoms to stabilise the layered packing. Table 2 provides the crystallographic data and structure refinement statistics, and Tables $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 provide the bond length and bond angle information.

Table 2. Crystallographic data X-ray data collection and structure refinement statistics for the structure $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 326.15 |
| $\mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{K}$ | 295.15 |
| Crystal system, sp.gr., Z | Monoclinic, $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}, 8$ |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}(\AA \mathrm{A})$ | $14.828(2), 7.1335(9), 25.119(2)$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma(\mathrm{deg})$ | $90,100.066(11), 90$ |
| $\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}^{3}$ | $2616.1(6)$ |
| $\rho\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 1.656 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 3.152 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 1312.0 |
| Crystal size $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)$ | $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ |
| Radiation, $\lambda(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha, 0.71073$ |
| $2 \theta$ range for data collection (deg) | 6.59 to 49.998 |
| Index ranges | $-17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8,-23 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected | 14007 |
| Independent reflections | $4577\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0367, \mathrm{R}_{\sigma}=0.0389\right]$ |
| Data/restraints $/$ parameters | $4577 / 0 / 347$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.151 |
| Final R indexes [I $\geq 2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0781, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1961$ |

[^0]| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1024, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2140$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | $0.74 /-0.77$ |

Table 3. Selected bond lengths $d(A ̊)$ of compound II

| Bond | d, $\AA$ | Bond | d, $\AA$ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br1-C10 | 1.835(7) | Br2-C23 | 1.823(7) |
| O2-C10 | 1.349(8) | 06-C21 | 1.382(8) |
| O2-C8 | 1.385(8) | 06-C23 | 1.345(8) |
| 03-C11 | 1.321(9) | 07-C24 | 1.321(9) |
| 03-C12 | 1.461(8) | 07-C25 | 1.466(8) |
| O1-C2 | 1.373(8) | O8-C24 | 1.198(8) |
| O1-C1 | 1.417(10) | 05-C15 | 1.361(8) |
| 04-C11 | 1.218(9) | O5-C14 | 1.421(9) |
| N1-C9 | 1.394(9) | N2-C22 | 1.401(8) |
| N1-C8 | 1.290(9) | N2-C21 | 1.295(9) |
| C10-C9 | 1.356(9) | C22-C23 | 1.361(9) |
| C5-C8 | 1.460(9) | C22-C24 | 1.489(9) |
| C5-C6 | 1.399(10) | C21-C18 | 1.459(9) |
| C5-C4 | 1.379(10) | C18-C17 | 1.379(10) |
| C9-C11 | 1.464(9) | C18-C19 | 1.402(10) |
| C6-C7 | 1.378(10) | C17-C16 | 1.386(10) |
| C4-C3 | 1.394(10) | C15-C20 | 1.383(10) |
| C3-C2 | 1.381(10) | C15-C16 | 1.390(10) |
| C2-C7 | 1.372(11) | C20-C19 | 1.363(10) |
| C12-C13 | 1.512(11) | C25-C26 | 1.456(13) |

Table 4. Selected bond angles $\omega\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of compound II

| Angle | $\omega$, deg | Angle | $\omega$, deg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C10-O2-C8 | $104.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{C} 21$ | $104.5(5)$ |
| C10-C9-N1 | $108.0(6)$ | O7-C24-C22 | $111.8(6)$ |
| C10-C9-C11 | $126.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{O} 7-\mathrm{C} 25$ | $115.1(6)$ |
| C11-O3-C12 | $115.4(5)$ | O8-C24-O7 | $125.9(7)$ |
| C2-O1-C1 | $119.6(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 14$ | $118.5(6)$ |
| O2-C8-C5 | $117.3(6)$ | O8-C24-C22 | $122.3(7)$ |
| C8-N1-C9 | $105.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $103.8(6)$ |
| N1-C8-O2 | $113.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $122.0(7)$ |
| N1-C8-C5 | $129.5(7)$ | O5-C15-C20 | $116.7(6)$ |
| O2-C10-Br1 | $115.5(5)$ | O5-C15-C16 | $124.0(7)$ |
| O2-C10-C9 | $109.3(6)$ | N2-C22-C24 | $124.0(6)$ |
| C9-C10-Br1 | $135.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{C} 15-C 16$ | $119.3(6)$ |
| C7-C6-C5 | $119.5(7)$ | $\mathrm{C} 23-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $109.1(6)$ |
| O3-C11-C9 | $112.5(6)$ | C19-C20-C15 | $121.7(7)$ |
| O4-C11-O3 | $123.5(6)$ | N2-C21-C24 | $126.7(6)$ |
| C6-C5-C8 | $120.8(7)$ | O6-C21-C18 | $114.1(6)$ |
| O4-C11-C9 | $124.0(7)$ | C20-C19-C18 | $117.4(6)$ |
| C4-C5-C8 | $119.8(6)$ | N2-C21-C18 | $119.7(7)$ |
| C5-C4-C3 | $120.6(7)$ | C17-C18-C21 | $128.5(7)$ |
| C4-C5-C6 | $119.4(6)$ |  | $120.0(6)$ |


| Angle | $\omega$, deg | Angle | $\omega$, deg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2-C3-C4 | $119.2(7)$ | C17-C16-C15 | $118.7(7)$ |
| O1-C2-C3 | $123.9(7)$ | C17-C18-C19 | $118.4(6)$ |
| C7-C2-O1 | $115.8(7)$ | C19-C18-C21 | $121.5(6)$ |
| C7-C2-C3 | $120.3(6)$ | O6-C23-Br2 | $116.6(5)$ |
| C2-C7-C6 | $120.9(7)$ | O6-C23-C22 | $108.5(6)$ |
| O3-C12-C13 | $108.2(6)$ | C22-C23-Br2 | $134.9(5)$ |
| N1-C9-C11 | $125.6(6)$ | C26-C25-O7 | $108.5(6)$ |

### 2.5 Refinement

All non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic thermal parameters. All the H atoms were placed in the calculated positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$ to $0.97 \AA$ and allowed to refine the structure in riding motion approximations, in which Uiso was tied to the carrier atom, with fixed isotropic displacement parameters: $\operatorname{Uiso}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl groups and $U$ iso $(H)=1.2$ eq(C) for $C$ aromatic.

### 2.6 Hirschfeld Surface Analysis

Hirschfeld surface analysis [37] and two-dimensional fingerprint plots [38] were generated using Crystal Explorer 17.5 [39], which indicated significant interactions based on the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ plots. Here, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (along the X -axis) and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (along the Y -axis) represent the closest internal and external distances on the Hirschfeld surface. The Hirschfeld surfaces of the title compound (II) were mapped over the $d_{\text {norm }}(\mathbf{a})$, shape index $(\mathbf{b})$, and curvedness index (c). The shape index plot shows $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions, whereas the curvedness plots show surface patch characteristics of planar stacking (Fig. 3).


Figure 3. Hirschfeld surfaces of compound (II) mapped over $d_{\text {norm }}(\mathbf{a})$, shape index(b), and curvedness index(c)

Intermolecular interactions are shown by red-coloured areas [40, 41]. Short interatomic interactions, like hydrogen bonds, are indicated by dark red colour on the $\mathrm{d}_{\text {norm }}$ surface, while light red indicates other intermolecular interactions.

In the two-dimensional fingerprint plot, the frequency of occurrence of the $\left(d_{i}\right.$ $d_{e}$ ) pair is shown in blue, and the grey colour corresponds to the outline of the full fingerprint [42]. The fingerprint plots of compound II (Fig. 4) revealed that
$\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}(34.4 \%)$ was the most prevalent interaction among all other interactions in the compound of interest. Further, the contributions follow the following order in the entire lattice, $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}(20.1 \%)>\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{H}(12.3 \%)>\operatorname{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}(10.3 \%)>\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{H}(3.7 \%)>\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ ( $2.5 \%$ ). The $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ interactions correspond to the small $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions observed between the $s p^{2}$ hybridized (C4-C22 and C3-C24) carbons of molecules A and $B$.


Figure 4. Two-dimensional fingerprint plots of compound (II) showing different interactions with their respective contributions.All interactions (100\%) b H $\cdots \mathrm{H}(34.4 \%$ ) c C $\cdots \mathrm{H}$ (12.3\%), d. $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ (20.1\%), e. $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ (10.3\%), f. N $\cdots$ H (4.7\%), g. C…C (2.5\%).

## 3. Conclusion

Ethyl 5-bromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4-carboxylate was synthesized using the method depicted in Scheme I and crystallized using Petroleum ether and ethyl acetate ( $95: 5$ ) solution. Further molecules were characterized by TLC, melting point, IR, NMR, and mass spectroscopy. The asymmetric unit with two molecules was stabilized by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} . . \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{N}$ intramolecular hydrogen bonding and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} . . . \mathrm{O}$ intermolecular hydrogen bonding along with $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions offered by the $s p^{2}$ hybridized carbons. Furthermore, the Hirschfeld surface analysis revealed that, in the lattice, $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}(34.4 \%)$ was the most prevalent interaction, while $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ interactions contributed the least to the intermolecular interaction profile, with a contribution of $2.5 \%$ in the entire lattice.

## 4. Declarations

### 4.1 Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the funding agency DST-SERB, Govt. of India, for providing financial assistance through their project (EMR/2016/005711) dated August 7, 2017, and to Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, India, for providing the

NMR spectral facility at the Chemistry Department through the DST FIST program (SR/FST/CSI-242-2012) and CIF for providing the single-crystal X-ray diffraction facility and other analytical services. Further, the authors sincerely acknowledge the support of Dr Swaraj Sengupta from the Department of Chemical Engineering, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, in refining the crystal structure.

### 4.2 Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### 4.3 Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### 4.4 Availability of data and materials

Crystallographic data were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as a supplementary publication with CCDC 2062478 for 5-bromo-2-(4methoxyphenyl) oxazole-4-carboxylate. The data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/

### 4.5 Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 4.6 Funding

The authors thank the funding agency DST-SERB, Govt. of India, for providing financial assistance through their project (EMR/2016/005711) dated August 7, 2017.

### 4.7 Author contributions

The authors confirm their contribution to the paper as follows: Study conception and design: S Murtuja; Data collection: S Murtuja; Analysis and interpretation of results: S Murtuja, V Jayaprakash, BN Sinha; Draft manuscript preparation: S Murtuja. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## References

(1) Tomi, I. H. R.; Tomma, J. H.; Al-Daraji, A. H. R.; Al-Dujaili, A. H. J Saudi Chem Soc 2015, 19, 392-398.
(2) Škedelj, V. et al. Eur J Med Chem 2013, 67, 208-220.
(3) Li, N. et al. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2014, 24, 386-389.
(4) Stokes, N. R. et al. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2014, 24, 353-359.
(5) Prakash, T. B.; Reddy, G. D.; Padmaja, A.; Padmavathi, V. Eur J Med Chem 2014, 82, 347-354.
(6) Patil, P. C.; Tan, J.; Demuth, D. R.; Luzzio, F. A. Bioorganic Med Chem 2016, 24, 5410-5417.
(7) Bell, J. L.; Haak, A. J.; Wade, S. M.; Kirchhoff, P. D.; Neubig, R. R.; Larsen, S. D. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2013, 23, 3826-3832.
(8) Naud, S. et al. J Med Chem 2013, 56, 10045-10065.
(9) Rafferty, S. W.; Eisner, J. R.; Moore, W. R.; Schotzinger, R. J.; Hoekstra, W. J. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2014, 24, 2444-2447.
(10) Zhu, H. Y.; Desai, J.; Deng, Y.; Cooper, A.; Wang, J.; Shipps, J.; Samatar, A.; Carr, D.; Windsor, W. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2015, 25, 1627-1629.
(11) Pedras, M. S. C.; Abdoli, A. Bioorganic Med Chem 2013, 21, 4541-4549.
(12) Zhang, M.-Z.; Chen, Q.; Xie, C.-H.; Mulholland, N.; Turner, S.; Irwin, D.; Gu, Y.-C.; Yang, G.-F.; Clough, J. Eur J Med Chem 2015, 92, 776-783.
(13) De la Fuente Revenga, M.; Fernández-Sáez, N.; Herrera-Arozamena, C.; Morales-García, J. A.; Alonso-Gil, S.; Pérez-Castillo, A.; Caignard, D.-H.; Rivara, S.; Rodríguez-Franco, M. I. J Med Chem 2015, 58, 4998-5014.
(14) Gobec, M.; Tomašič, T.; Markovič, T.; Mlinarič-Raščan, I.; Dolenc, M. S.; Jakopin, Ž. Chem Biol Interact 2015, 240, 200-207.
(15) Chakka, S. K.; Kalamuddin, M.; Sundararaman, S.; Wei, L.; Mundra, S.; Mahesh, R.; Malhotra, P.; Mohmmed, A.; Kotra, L. P. Bioorganic Med Chem 2015, 23, 2221-2240.
(16) Ferrins, L. et al. Eur J Med Chem 2013, 66, 450-465.
(17) Yamamuro, D.; Uchida, R.; Ohtawa, M.; Arima, S.; Futamura, Y.; Katane, M.; Homma, H.; Nagamitsu, T.; Osada, H.; Tomoda, H. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2015, 25, 313-316.
(18) Abhale, Y. K.; Sasane, A. V.; Chavan, A. P.; Shekh, S. H.; Deshmukh, K. K.; Bhansali, S.; Nawale, L.; Sarkar, D.; Mhaske, P. C. Eur J Med Chem 2017, 132, 333-340.
(19) Franke, J.; Bock, M.; Dehn, R.; Fohrer, J.; Mhaske, S. B.; Migliorini, A.; Kanakis, A. A.; Jansen, R.; Herrmann, J.; Müller, R.; Kirschning, A. Chem - A Eur J 2015, 21, 4272-4284.
(20) Li, D.; Gao, N.; Zhu, N.; Lin, Y.; Li, Y.; Chen, M.; You, X.; Lu, Y.; Wan, K.; Jiang, J.-D.; Jiang, W.; Si, S. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2015, 25, 5178-5181.
(21) Meissner, A.; Boshoff, H. I.; Vasan, M.; Duckworth, B. P.; Barry, C. E.; Aldrich, C. C. Bioorganic Med Chem 2013, 21, 6385-6397.
(22) Belema, M.; Nguyen, V.; Romine, J.; Laurent, D.; Lopez, O.; Goodrich, J. J Med Chem 2014, 57, 1995-2012.
(23) Draffan, A.; Frey, B.; Fraser, B.; Pool, B.; Gannon, C.; Tyndall, E. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2014, 24, 4984-4988.
(24) Keaney, E. P.; Connolly, M.; Dobler, M.; Karki, R.; Honda, A.; Sokup, S.; Karur, S.; Britt, S.; Patnaik, A.; Raman, P.; Hamann, L. G.; Wiedmann, B.; LaMarche, M. J. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2014, 24, 3714-3718.
(25) Kim, S.-H.; Markovitz, B.; Trovato, R.; Murphy, B. R.; Austin, H.; Willardsen, A. J.; Baichwal, V.; Morham, S.; Bajji, A. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2013, 23, 2888-2892.
(26) Regueiro-Ren, A. et al. J Med Chem 2013, 56, 1656-1669.
(27) Sevrioukova, I. F.; Poulos, T. L. J Med Chem 2013, 56, 3733-3741.
(28) Laurent, D. R. S. et al. JMed Chem 2014, 57, 1976-1994.
(29) Wang, T.; Yang, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Gong, Y.-F.; Riccardi, K. A.; Lin, P.-F.; Parker, D. D.; Rahematpura, S.; Mathew, M.; Zheng, M.; Meanwell, N. A.; Kadow, J. F.; Bender, J. A. Bioorganic Med Chem Lett 2013, 23, 213-217.
(30) Zhong, Z.-J.; Zhang, D.-J.; Peng, Z.-G.; Li, Y.-H.; Shan, G.-Z.; Zuo, L.-M.; Wu, L.-T.; Li, S.-Y.; Gao, R.-M.; Li, Z.-R. Eur J Med Chem 2013, 69, 32-43.
(31) Raju, B.; Ciabatti, R.; Maffioli, S.; Singh, U.; Romano, G.; Michelucci, E.; Tiseni, P.; Candiani, G.; Kim, B.; O'dowd, H., et al. (LLC, V. P.). Ramoplanin derivatives possessing antibacterial activity US Patent, 20060211603A1, Publisher: Google Patents, 2006.
(32) V., D. O.; LJ, B.; RJ, G.; JAK, H.; H, P. J Appl Crystallogr 2009, 42, 339-41.
(33) Bourhis, L.; V., D. O.; Gildea, R.; Howard, J.; Puschmann, H. Acta Crystallogr A Found Adv 2015, 71, 59-75.
(34) Sheldrick, G. ystallogr Sect C Struct Chem 2015, 71, 3-8.
(35) Farrugia, L. J Appl Crystallogr 2012, 45, 849-54.
(36) Macrae, C.; Bruno, I.; Chisholm, J.; Edgington, P.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E. J Appl Crystallogr 2008, 41, 466-70.
(37) Spackman, M.; Jayatilaka, D. CrystEngComm 2009, 11, 19-32.
(38) McKinnon, J.; Jayatilaka, D.; Spackman, M. Chem Commиn 2007, 37, 3814-3816.
(39) Spackman, P. R.; Turner, M. J.; McKinnon, J. J.; Wolff, S. K.; Grimwood, D. J.; Jayatilaka, D.; Spackman, M. A. J Appl Crystallogr 2021, 54, Publisher: International Union of Crystallography, 1006-1011.
(40) McKinnon, J. J.; Spackman, M. A.; Mitchell, A. S. Acta Crystallogr B Struct Sci 2004, 60, Pages: 627-68 Volume: 60, 627-668.
(41) Spackman, M.; McKinnon, J. CrystEngComm 2002, 4, 378-92.
(42) Ternavisk, R.; Camargo, A.; Machado, F.; Napolitano, H. JMol Model 2014, 20, 2526-2536.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Symmetry code: $i=x, y, z ; i i=-1 / 2+x, 1.5-y,-1 / 2+z ; i i i=1.5-x,-1 / 2+y, 1.5-z ; i v=1-x, 2-y, 1-z$

